K. P. Gorshenin

UDC 533.9

1. Introduction. A model for flow in a narrow flux tube was suggested in [1] in order to study the properties of magnetohydrodynamic plasma flows in channels. Steady-state axial-ly-symmetric flow of a nonviscous non-heat conducting ideally conducting medium in a transverse natural magnetic field is described by the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho v s=\text { const } ;  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{v^{2}}{2}+\frac{\gamma p / \rho}{\gamma-1}+\frac{H^{2}}{4 \pi \rho}=\mathrm{const} ;  \tag{1.2}\\
H / \rho r=\text { const } ;  \tag{1.3}\\
\rho / \rho^{\gamma}=\text { const } . \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $s=2 \pi f r$ is tube cross-sectional area; $r$ is its average radius; $f$ is transverse dimension (Fig. 1). Equations (1.2)-(1.4) describe the change in flux parameters along the trajectory $r=r(z)$. Generally speaking Eq. (1.1) contains values of $\rho$ and $v$ averaged over the tube cross section, although in view of the assumed smallness of $f$ it is possible to consider them coincident with values of $\rho$ and $v$ in trajectory $r(z)$.

In studying flow in channels there is extensive use of a hydraulic (quasi-uniform) approximation in which all MHD-values are averaged over the cross section. A review of the results may be found for example in [2]. An undoubted virtue of the hydraulic approximation is the possibility of considering the effect on flow of various physical factors (dissipation processes, an external electromagentic field, etc.). In this model channel geometry affects flow similar to normal gas dynamics. Another situation arises in studying flow in a narrow flux tube. One one hand this model rests on the results of equations for an ideal magnetic hydrodynamic (Eqs. (1.2)-(1.4) are precise conservation rules which are fulfilled along the trajectory). Therefore, if it is very difficult for example to consider dissipation factors. Equations are given in [3] for a plasma with finite conductivity, but with the stringent assumptions that the trajectory coincides with equipotentials (with finite conductivity that is automatically fulfilled). On the other hand, in Eqs. (1.1)-(1.4) there are precise MHDvalues in which the trajectory is of arbitrary shape. This makes it possible within the scope of a model for flow in a narrow tube to consider essentially two-dimensional effects. It is shown in [4] that with certain assumptions about the shape of narrow tubes it is possible to obtain conditions for absence in a channel of electric current eddies expressed in the form of limitations on local flow parameters.

From a mathematical point of view the 'quasi-two-dimensionality' of the flow model in a narrow tube is due to the fact that all the values in Eqs. (1.1)-(1.4) are considered at points of two-dimensional space with coordinates ( $z, r(z)$ ). From a physical viewpoint this model considers an important situation which in principle it is not possible to consider with averaging over the cross section: along a trajectory of arbitrary shape there is a change not only in the intensity of the magnetic field, but also in the length of its force lines. In other words, in equations of motion there is consideration not only of the magnetic pressure gradient, but also of the term $\sim\left(H_{\nabla}\right) H$, which means that the field performs work in shortening its force lines [1].

As a well-known example we consider the question of a change-over in terms of signal velocity. By differentiating Eqs. (1.1)-(1.4) and excluding do, dp, dH, we obtain [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{2}-c_{m}^{2}\right) \frac{d v}{v}=c_{s}^{2} \frac{d(f r)}{f r}+c_{a}^{2} \frac{d(f / r)}{f / r} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Fig. 1
$\left(c_{s}^{2}=\gamma p / \rho, c_{a}^{2}=H^{2} /(4 \pi \rho), c_{m}^{2}=c_{s}^{2}+c_{a}^{2}\right)$. In bent tubes ( $r \neq$ const) a change-over in terms of local signal velocity occurs with $c_{s}^{2} \gg c_{a}^{2}$ (gas dynamic flow) at the point of a minimum for function fr (cross-sectional area), and with $c_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \ll \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}$ (flow in a strong magnetic field) at a point of the minimum of function $f / r$. In a tube with $r=$ const or in the case of plane flow (which formally corresponds to $r \rightarrow \infty$ ) the nature of flow is determined by the behavior of $f$, i.e., in fact the cross-sectional area, and presence of a magnetic field does not affect the position of the point of a change-over in terms of signal velocity.

Features are considered in the present work for flow of a plasma in a narrow flux tube which arises as a result of curvature of its central line. In addition, the question is studied of existence of steady-state flow in narrow tubes.
2. Features of Flow in Bent Tubes. We rewrite (1.5) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d v}{v}=\frac{d f}{f}-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $M=v / c_{m}$ is magnetic Mach number; $\alpha=\gamma \beta / 2 ; \beta=8 \pi p / H^{2}$. According to Eqs. (1.1)-(1.4) each MHD-value may be expressed in terms of functions $r(z), f(z)$, and constants of equations which are combinations of input values $\rho_{0}, \mathrm{v}_{0}, \mathrm{H}_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{r}_{0}, \mathrm{f}_{0}$. The same is also correct for function $\beta(z)$. Consequently, the position of the critical cross section (in which a changeover is possible in terms of signal velocity) in the general case is determined not only by tube geometry (functions $r(z)$ and $f(z)$ ), but also by flux input parameters. this assertion may be illustrated by the following example. Since from (1.3) and (1.4) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \rho^{2-} \gamma_{r^{2}}=\mathrm{const}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then with $\gamma=2 \beta=\beta_{0}\left(r_{0} / r\right)^{2}$ it is possible to write the right-hand part of (2.1) in the form of a total differential. Finally we find that with $\gamma=2$ a change-over in terms of signal velocity occurs in section $z_{\%}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r f /\left(r^{2}+\beta_{0} r_{0}^{2}\right)=\min . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that (2.3) determines the implicit dependence $z_{\%}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$. Here and subsequently indices 0 and $*$ denote values which relate to the initial and critical cross sections, respectively. However, if functions $r(z)$ and $f(z)$ are such that $f r$ and $f / r$ behave in the same way and have a minimum at the same point $z^{0}$, then according to (1.5) $z_{\%}$ agrees with $z^{0}$ and it does not depend on $\beta_{0}$.

In gas-dynamic flow the directions for the change in density and velocity in a narrow tube are different. In MHD-flow they may coincide. In fact, by differentiating Eqs. (1.1)(1.4) and excluding $d p, d v, d H$, we have the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}=\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}-\mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \frac{d r}{r}-\mathrm{M}^{2} \frac{d f}{f} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we shall consider together with (2.1). It can be seen that in normal gas dynamics $(\alpha \rightarrow \infty)$ always $d \rho d v<0$. This is also fulfilled for MHD-flow in a tube with $r=$ const. In a tube with $r \neq$ const a situation is possible when dodv>0. Accelerating regimes with densification are observed in calculations for steady-state two-dimensional plasma flows in channels [5]. In [1] such regimes are called anomalous. We clarify conditions for realizing them.

Multiplying (2.1) and (2.4) we find that

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right)^{2} \frac{d \rho d v}{\rho v}=\left[\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}-\mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \frac{d r}{r}-\mathrm{M}^{2} \frac{d f}{f}\right]\left[\frac{d f}{f}-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}\right]
$$

Consequently in an anomalous regime there is simultaneous fulfillment of the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}-M^{2}\right) \frac{d r}{r}-\mathrm{M}^{2} \frac{d f}{f}>0, \quad \frac{d f}{f}-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}-\mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \frac{d r}{r}-\mathrm{M}^{2} \frac{d f}{f}<0, \quad \frac{d f}{f}-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}<0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition for compatibility of (2.5) is:

$$
\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}<\left(\frac{2 / \mathrm{M}^{2}}{1+\alpha}-1\right) \frac{d r}{r}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) d r<0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly the compatibility condition for (2.6) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) d r>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking account of (2.5)-(2.8) it is possible to write conditions for the anomalous behavior of density:
a) in an acceleration regime ( $d v>0$ ) $d r<0$,

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d f}{f}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{2 / \mathrm{M}^{2}}{1+\alpha}-1\right) \frac{d r}{r}
$$

b) in a retardation regime $(d v<0)$, $d r>0$.

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{2 / \mathrm{M}^{2}}{1+\alpha}-1\right) \frac{d r}{r}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d f}{f}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}
$$

Thus, independent of the nature of flow, i.e., sub-signal ( $M<1$ ) or super-signal ( $M>1$ ), anomalous acceleration is only possible in ascending trajectories ( $\mathrm{dr}>0$ ).

In normal hydrodynamics acceleration or retardation is clearly defined by the direction of the change in thermal Mach number Maxa $M_{S}=v / c_{S}$. In MHD-flow the direction of increase in $M, M_{S}$, and parameter $M_{a}$, inverse to Alfven number ( $M_{a}=v / c_{a}$ ), along the trajectory may not coincide with the direction of an increase in velocity. In fact, from (1.1)-(1.4) there follow the relationships

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{M}}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2} \frac{1+(\gamma-1) \alpha}{1+\alpha}+1\right] \frac{d f}{f}-  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad-\left[\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2} \frac{1-(\gamma-1) \alpha}{1+\alpha}+\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}+\frac{(\gamma-2) \alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}\right] \frac{d r}{r} ; \\
& \left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d \mathrm{M}_{s}}{\mathrm{M}_{s}}=\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \frac{d f}{f}-\left(\frac{\gamma-\alpha}{1+\alpha}-\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \frac{d r}{r} ;  \tag{2.10}\\
& \left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d \mathrm{M}_{a}}{\mathrm{M}_{a}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2}+1\right) \frac{d f}{f}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2}+\frac{1-2 \alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) \frac{d r}{r} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Without giving the calculations similar to those previously we write conditions for anomalous (in the sense indicated) behavior of $M, M_{S}, M_{a}$ :
a) in an acceleration regime $(\mathrm{dv}>0) \mathrm{dM}<0$ with $(\gamma-2) \mathrm{dr}<0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d f}{f}< \\
< & \left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}[1-(\gamma-1) \alpha]+2 \frac{1+(\gamma-2) \alpha-\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}[1+(\gamma-1) \alpha]+2(1+\alpha)} \frac{d r}{r},
\end{aligned}
$$

$d \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}<0$ with $d r<0$ and

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d f}{f}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{\frac{\gamma-\alpha}{1+\alpha}-\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}} \frac{d r}{r},
$$

$d \mathrm{M}_{a}<0$ with $d r>0$ and

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d f}{f}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}+2 \frac{1-2 \alpha}{1+\alpha}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}+2} \frac{d r}{r} ;
$$

b) in a retardation regime $(\mathrm{dv}<0) \mathrm{dM}>0$ with $(\gamma-2) \mathrm{dr}>0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}[1-(\gamma-1) \alpha]+2 \frac{1+(\gamma-2) \alpha-\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}[1+(\gamma-1) \alpha]} \frac{d r}{r}< \\
<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d f}{f}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}},
\end{gathered}
$$

$d \mathrm{M}_{s}>0$ with $d r<0$ and

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{\frac{\gamma-\alpha}{1+\alpha}-\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}}{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}} \frac{d r}{r}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d f}{f}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r},
$$

$d \mathrm{M}_{a}>0$ with $d r<0$ and

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}+2 \frac{1-2 \alpha}{1+\alpha}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}+2} \frac{d r}{r}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d f}{f}<\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d r}{r}
$$

It is noted that with $\gamma=2$ always dMdv $\geq 0$.
Thus, with $\gamma<2$ in ascending trajectories acceleration clearly specifies an increase in $M_{S}$, and retardation a reduction in $M$ and $M_{a}$. In descending trajectories acceleration clearly specifies an increase in $M$ and $M_{a}$, and retardation specifies a reduction in $M_{S}$.

Finally we consider a narrow tube with a constant transverse size $\mathrm{f}=$ const. From (2.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d v}{v}=\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1} \frac{d r}{r} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign of acceleration at each point is determined by the nature of flow (sub-signal or super-signal), by the direction of the increase in function $r(z)$, and by the value of $\beta$ at this point. A change-over of function $\beta(z)$ through $2 / \gamma$ with a uniform change in $r(z)$ is accompanied by a change in the sign of acceleration, i.e., appearance of a local extremum in velocity. From (2.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{M}}=-\left[\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2} \frac{1-(\gamma-1) \alpha}{1+\alpha}+\frac{1+(\gamma-2) \alpha-\alpha^{2}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}\right] \frac{d r}{r} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) taking account of (2.4) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}-1\right) \frac{d \beta}{\beta}=\left[2 \frac{\gamma-1+\alpha}{1+\alpha}-\gamma \mathrm{M}^{2}\right] \frac{d r}{r} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From expressions (2.12)-(2.14) it is possible to determine the direction of increase in $v$, $M$, and $\beta$ at any point in relation to their values at this point and the direction for the change in function $r(z)$. Results of studies for $3 / 2<\gamma<2$ are presented graphically in Figs. 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{dr}>0$ and $\mathrm{dr}<0$, respectively, and lines I and II correspond to

$$
\mathrm{M}^{2}=2 \frac{(\gamma-2) \alpha+1-\alpha^{2}}{(\gamma-2) \alpha+(\gamma-1) \alpha^{2}-1}, \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}=\frac{2}{\gamma} \frac{\gamma-1+\alpha}{1+\alpha} .
$$

Arrows indicate the direction of change in $M^{2}$ and $\alpha$ (i.e., $\beta$ ). In Fig. 2 in a square bounded by straight lines $M=0$ and $1, \alpha=0$ and 1 , we have $d M>0, d \beta<0$, etc.

For definiteness we consider a tube with $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{dz}^{2}<0$ at whose inlet the flow is subsignal. With $\alpha_{0}<1$ it accelerates, and at the point of a maximum for function $r(z)$ a
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change-over is possible in terms of signal velocity. If a change-over occurs, then the flow continues to accelerate, but starting from some point $\alpha$ increases and with $\alpha=1$ the velocity reaches a maximum. With $\alpha_{0}>1$ the sub-signal flow is retarded. As can be seen from Figs. 2 and 3 depending on initial values of $M_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$ such flow regimes are possible in which $M$ increases in a retarding flow, and at the point of a maximum of function $r(z)$ a changeover is possible through $M=1$. Other flow regimes are also considered in a similar way on the basis of Figs. 2 and 3.
3. Existence of Steady-State Flows. In normal gas dynamics conditions for existence of steady-state flow in a quasi-uniform channel (Laval nozzle) are expressed by limitations on initial parameter $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S} 0}$ :

$$
0<\mathrm{M}_{s 0} \leqslant \mathrm{M}_{s 0}^{-}, \quad \mathrm{M}_{s 0} \geqslant \mathrm{M}_{s 0}^{+}, \quad \mathrm{M}_{s 0}^{-}<1<\mathrm{M}_{s 0}^{+}
$$

$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S} 0}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S} 0}^{+}$are determined by the condition for a change-over in terms of sound velocity $c_{S}$ in a critical (minimum) cross section. As shown above, in magnetohydrodynamics for a narrow tube of arbitrary shape the position of the critical cross section is previously unknown, and therefore the question of existence of steady-state flow should be considered separately.

We rewrite the set of equations (1.1)-(1.4) in dimensionless form taking input values as measurement units for the corresponding values $\rho_{0}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m} 0}, \mathrm{H}_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{~s}_{0}, \mathrm{r}_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho v s=\mathrm{M}_{0}, \quad \frac{v^{2}}{2}+\frac{p / \rho}{\gamma-1} \frac{\alpha_{0}}{1+\alpha_{0}}+\frac{H^{2}}{\rho} \frac{1}{1+\alpha_{0}}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{0}^{2}}{2}+\frac{1+\alpha_{0} /(\gamma-1)}{1+\alpha_{0}}  \tag{3.1}\\
H / \rho r=1, p / \rho^{\gamma}=1
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $s=f r ; \alpha_{0}=\gamma \beta_{0} / 2$. With this selection of units flow in a tube with prescribed geometry is determined by dimensionless parameters $M_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$. We find with what values of these parameters a solution of system (3.1) exists in each tube cross section (i.e., steady-state flow exists described by Eqs. (3.1)).

Excluding $v, H, p$ from (3.1) we obtain an equation for density:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{3} r^{2}+\rho^{\gamma+1} \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1}-\left[\frac{M_{0}^{2}}{2}\left(1+\alpha_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1}+1\right] \rho^{2}+\frac{M_{0}^{2}}{2 s^{2}}\left(1+\alpha_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all of the rest of the MHD-values are clearly expressed in terms of $\rho$ it is necessary to clarify conditions for existence of roots for Eq. (3.2).

We consider the left-hand part of (3.2) as a function of $F(\rho)$ with fixed $r, s, M_{0}, \alpha_{0}$. It is easy to be sure that $F(\rho)$ has a minimum with $\rho=\rho_{m}^{\prime}$ and the value of $\rho_{m}$ is determined from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \rho_{m} r^{2}+\alpha_{0} \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1} \rho_{m}^{\gamma-1}=M_{0}^{2}\left(1+\alpha_{0}\right)+2\left(\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1}+1\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With any value of $r, M_{0}, \alpha_{0}$ this equation has a single positive root. For existence of roots of Eq. (3.2) it is necessary and sufficient that the following condition is fulfilled

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\rho_{m}\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F\left(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ is a negative value, then Eq. (3.2) has the roots $\rho_{-}$and $\rho_{+}: \rho_{-}<\rho_{\mathrm{m}}<\rho_{+}$, and $F^{\prime}\left(\rho_{-}\right)<0, F^{\prime}\left(\rho_{+}\right)>0$. By using an expression for $M$ in dimensionless values

$$
\mathrm{M}^{2}=\frac{\left(1+\alpha_{0}\right) \mathrm{M}_{0}^{2}}{\rho^{2} s^{2}\left(\alpha_{0} \rho^{\gamma-1}+\rho r^{2}\right)},
$$

we present (3.2) in the form

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(\rho^{3} r^{2}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2} \rho^{v+1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \rho F^{\prime}(\rho)=0
$$

whence it follows that $\rho_{+}$corresponds to sub-signal flow, and $\rho_{-}$corresponds to super-signal flow. Thus, solving Eq. (3.2) at each point $z$ we obtain two functions: $\rho_{+}(z)$ and $\rho_{-}(z)$. At point $z_{k}$, where $F\left(\rho_{m}\right)=0$ and $M=1$, their values coincide. Evidently the behavior of density in the tube with $z \leq z_{*}$ is clearly described by one of these functions, the choice of which determines $M$. With $\bar{z}>z_{*}$ both solutions have a physical meaning. One of them describes trans-signal flow, and the other regime in which there is no change-over in terms of signal velocity.

We clarify the meaning of condition (3.4). We express $M_{0}^{2}$ from (3.3) and we place it in $F\left(\rho_{m}\right)$. Condition (3.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi\left(\rho_{m}\right) \geqslant 0,  \tag{3,5}\\
\Phi\left(\rho_{m}\right)=\rho_{m}^{3} r^{2}+\alpha_{0} \rho_{m}^{\gamma+1}-\frac{3 r^{2}}{s^{2}} \rho_{m}-\alpha_{0} \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1} \frac{\rho_{m}^{\gamma-1}}{s^{2}}+2 \frac{1+\alpha_{0}(\gamma-1)}{s^{2}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Function $\Phi(x)$ has a minimum point $x=1 / s$. Condition (3.5) is fulfilled for any $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ if $\Phi(1 / s) \geq 0$ or

$$
\frac{r^{2}}{s}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1} \frac{1}{s^{\gamma-1}} \leqslant 1+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1} .
$$

If this equality is not fulfilled, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r^{2}}{s}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1} \frac{1}{s^{\gamma-1}}>1+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.5) satisfies the values of $\rho$ from the ranges

$$
0<\rho_{m} \leqslant \rho_{m}^{-}, \quad \rho_{m} \geqslant \rho_{m}^{+}
$$

where $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{-}$and $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$are roots of the equation $\Phi\left(\rho_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=0$, and for them there is fulfillment of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{m}^{-}<\frac{1}{s}<\rho_{m}^{+} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}>\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}$ makes sense where $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}$ is found from (3.3) with $\mathrm{M}_{0}=0$ :

$$
\frac{3}{2} \rho_{m}^{0} r^{2}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2} \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}\left(\rho_{m}^{0}\right)^{\gamma-1}=1+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1} .
$$

Taking account of (3.6) we obtain

$$
\frac{3}{2} \frac{r^{2}}{s}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2} \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1} \frac{1}{s^{\gamma-1}}>1+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma-1}
$$

and consequently $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}<1 / \mathrm{s}$. Since $\Phi\left(\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}\right)>0$, it is possible to be certain immediately that $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}<\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{-}$. Thus, acceptable values of $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ are contained in the ranges $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}<\rho_{\mathrm{m}} \leq \rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{-}, \rho_{\mathrm{m}} \geq \rho_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$. Taking account of (3.3) this means that with prescribed $\beta_{0}$ acceptable values of $M_{0}$ are contained in the ranges $0<M_{0} \leq M_{0}^{-}, M_{0} \geq M_{0}^{+}\left(M_{0}^{-}\right.$and $M_{0}^{+}$are obtained with substitution in (3.3) of $\rho_{m}^{-}$and $\rho_{m}^{+}$).

By carrying out similar consideration in each tube cross section* we obtain functions *With $\mathrm{r}=$ const according to (3.3) $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$, and consequently $\mathrm{M}^{-}$and $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, are identical in all cross sections. In order to find limiting values of $M_{0}$ it is sufficient to solve the equation $\Phi\left(\rho_{m}\right)=0$ in a known critical cross section $\mathrm{s}_{\text {min }}$.
$M_{0}^{-}(z)$ and $M_{0}^{+}$. Apparently if $M_{0}$ is selected from the ranges

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<\mathrm{M}_{0} \leqslant \mathrm{M}_{\min }^{-}, \quad \mathrm{M}_{0} \geqslant \mathrm{M}_{\max }^{+} \\
\left(\mathrm{M}_{\min }^{-}=\min _{z} \mathrm{M}_{0}^{-}(z)=\mathrm{M}_{0}^{-}\left(z_{-}\right), \quad \mathrm{M}_{\max }^{+}=\max _{z} \mathrm{M}_{0}^{+}(z)=\mathrm{M}_{0}^{+}\left(z_{+}\right)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

then a solution for Eq. (3.2) exists in any cross section. If $M_{0}$ exactly equals one of the limiting values $M_{\min }^{-}$or $M_{\max }^{+}$, then correspondingly at points $z_{-}$or $z_{+}$values of $\rho_{m}^{-}$or $\rho_{m}^{+}$ satisfy Eq. (3.2). This means that $M\left(z_{-}\right)=1$ or $M\left(z_{+}\right)=1$. It is noted that the position of the point of change-over in terms of signal velocity appear to be connected with the complete collection of input values of dimensional MHD-values: $\rho_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{~V}_{0}, \mathrm{H}_{0}$.

Thus, in a tube with prescribed geometry and fixed input parameter $\beta_{0}$ there is no steady-state flow regime in which $M_{0}$ takes a value of some open range depending on $\beta_{0}$ and geometry. It is possible to show that for a narrow tube in which functions $f r$ and $f / r$ have minima at the same point this range contains $M_{0}=1$. In fact, according to (1.5) in such a tube a sub-signal flow at the inlet accelerates and super-signal flow slows down. By placing $M_{\min }^{-}$and $M_{\max }^{+}$in the first equations of set (3.1) and using (3.7) we obtain

$$
v_{-}>\mathrm{M}_{\min }^{-}, v_{+}<\mathrm{M}_{\max }^{+}
$$

Here $v_{-}$and $v_{+}$are velocities in the critical cross section relating to values of density $\beta_{0}$. Since the input Mach number is a dimensionless value of inlet velocity, then these inequalities imply $\beta_{0}$. Finally, we mention special cases when equations which determine limiting values of Mach number may be written explicitly. From expressions (2.9)-(2.11) we have (see also for example [6]) with $\beta \gg 1$

$$
\frac{\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2} M_{s}^{2}\right)^{(\gamma+1) /(\gamma-1)}}{M_{s}^{2}}=\frac{\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2} M_{s 0}^{2}\right)^{(\gamma+1) /(\gamma-1)}}{M_{s 0}^{2}} s^{2},
$$

with $\beta \ll 1$

$$
\frac{\left(\mathrm{M}_{a}^{2}+2\right)^{3}}{\mathrm{M}_{a}^{2}}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{M}_{\alpha 0}^{2}+2\right)^{3}}{\mathrm{M}_{a 0}^{2}}(f / r)^{2},
$$

with $\quad \gamma=2$

$$
\frac{\left(M^{2}+2\right)^{3}}{M^{2}}=\frac{\left(M_{0}^{2}+2\right)^{3}}{M_{0}^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(1+\beta_{0}\right) s}{r^{2}+\beta_{0}}\right]^{2} .
$$

We recall that measurement units for values $f, r$, and $s$ are their input values. In these special cases the position of the critical cross section is known, and therefore equations for limiting input values $M_{S 0}, M_{a 0}, M_{0}$ have the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2} M_{s 0}^{2}\right)^{(\gamma+1) /(\gamma-1)}}{M_{s 0}^{2}}=\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right)^{(\gamma+1) /(\gamma-1)} \frac{1}{s_{*}^{2}}, \quad s_{*}=\min _{z} s ;  \tag{3.8}\\
\frac{\left(M_{a 0}^{2}+2\right)^{3}}{M_{a 0}^{2}}=\frac{27}{\left(f_{*} / r_{*}\right)^{2}}, \frac{f_{*}}{r_{*}}=\min _{z} \frac{f}{r} ;  \tag{3.9}\\
\frac{\left(M_{0}^{2}+2\right)^{3}}{M_{0}^{2}}=27\left[\frac{\beta_{0}+r_{*}^{2}}{s_{*}\left(\beta_{0}+1\right)}\right]^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the last equation $r_{*}$ and $s_{*}$ satisfy (2.3). We can be sure that each of these equations has two roots bounding the ranges of impermissible values of $M_{S 0}, M_{a 0}^{2}, M_{0}$, and the ranges contain unity. If the input parameters take their limiting values, then velocity in the critical cross section reaches values of the local signal velocity. Therefore, equalities (3.8)-(3.10) are necessary conditions for a trans-signal change-over in each of the particular cases considered.

In conclusion it is noted that input parameter $M_{0}$ may be expressed in terms of 'integral' (for the given narrow tube) characteristic of flow: plasma mass flow rate $\dot{m}=\rho_{0} v_{0} s_{0}$, total current occurring in the tube $I=H_{0} \mathrm{cr}_{0} / 2$, and the difference in potential between trajectories bounding the tube $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{v}_{0} \mathrm{f}_{0} / \mathrm{c}$. By using these values we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{0}^{2}=\frac{c^{4} r_{0}^{2}}{4 j_{0}^{2}} \frac{\dot{m} U}{I^{3}\left(1+\gamma \beta_{0} / 2\right)} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, with fixed $\beta_{0}$ the condition for trans-signal change-over determining $M_{0}$ in relation to $\beta_{0}$ and tube geometry is a condition for combination of integral values $Q=m / I^{3}$. In particular we consider the case of $\gamma=2$. According to (3.10) with $r=$ const the dependence of limiting value $M_{0}$ on $\beta_{0}$ disappears, and the limiting value of $Q_{m}$ is a linear function of $\beta_{0}$. If a change in $r$ cannot be ignored, then with $f_{*} \ll 1$ from (3.10) we obtain approximate equations

$$
M_{0}^{2}=\frac{8}{27}\left[\frac{s_{*}\left(1+\beta_{0}\right)}{r_{*}^{2}+\beta_{0}}\right]^{2}, \quad M_{0}^{2}=3 \sqrt{3} \frac{\beta_{0}+r_{*}^{2}}{s_{*}\left(\beta_{0}+1\right)}
$$

the first of which relates to sub-signal flow at the inlet, and the second the super-signal flow. By substituting them in (3.11) we find correspondingly

$$
Q_{m}^{-}=\frac{32 f_{0}^{2}}{27 c^{4} r_{0}^{2}} \frac{s_{*}^{2}\left(1+\beta_{0}\right)^{3}}{\left(r_{*}^{2}+\beta_{0}\right)^{2}}, \quad Q_{m}^{+}=\frac{12 \sqrt{3} f_{0}^{2}}{c^{4} r_{0}^{2}} \frac{\beta_{0}+r_{*}^{2}}{s_{*}}
$$

Here $s_{*}$ and $r_{*}$ are as before dimensionless values. Let them be independent of $\beta_{0}$. Then $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ is a linear function; $\overline{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}}}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ is an increasing function if $r_{*}^{2}>2 / 3$, and it has a minimum with $\beta_{0}=2 / 3-r_{*}^{2}$, if $r_{*}^{2}<2 / 3$.

The author thanks K. V. Brushlinskii for reading the manuscript and making many useful comments.
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